# MONETHIC

### **Acurast Chain**

Blockchain Security Audit

Prepared for:

**Acurast Association** 

Date:

23.10.2025

Version:

Final, v1.1

### **Table of Contents**

About Monethic	3
About Project	3
Disclaimer	3
Scoping Details	4
Scope	5
Timeframe	5
Vulnerability Classification	6
Vulnerabilities summary	7
Technical summary	9
1. Anyone can delete and replay non-expired incoming messages	9
2. Unbenchmarked weight calculation enabling inexpensive DoS attack	10
3. Incomplete RSA signature verification in attestation validation	11
4. Flawed report validation enables premature payouts and reputation infl	ation12
5. Missing sender-contract validation for Substrate inbound messages	13
6. Oracle signature threshold can be met with duplicate keys	14
7. Missing expiry validation in attestation verification	15
8. Missing check_scheduling_window implementation	17
9. Lack of assignment check in advertisement	18
10. Weak and overly restrictive IPFS script validation	19
11. Missing reputation penalties for unreported executions	20
12. The finalize_job extrinsic will always complete	21
13. Incorrect bound used for allowed consumers length check	22
14. Incoming message cleanup removes the wrong keykey	23
15. Inconsistent cleanup logic leaks locked capacity and reduces processo	
availability	
16. Integer overflow in storage tracker unlock causes permanent capacity	
17. Zero fee and zero amount transfers enable persistent storage bloat	
18. Orphaned fee holds when re-sending the same sender nonce after TTL	
19. Refunds for non-Acurast job owners are collected locally and not forwa	
20. Best effort fee transfer leaves residual locked funds	
21. Underestimated weight in certificate revocation list updates	
22. Incomplete cleanup of execution environments on deregistration	
23. Cross-proxy nonce collision in IBC message ID	31

24. Oracle signatures lack domain separation, enabling cross-context replay	32
25. Wrong error message emitted in remove_message	33
26. Centralization concerns	33

#### **About Monethic**

**Monethic** is a young and thriving cybersecurity company with extensive experience in various fields, including Smart Contracts, Blockchain protocols (layer 0/1/2), wallets and off-chain components audits, as well as traditional security research, starting from penetration testing services, ending at Red Team campaigns. Our team of cybersecurity experts includes experienced blockchain auditors, penetration testers, and security researchers with a deep understanding of the security risks and challenges in the rapidly evolving IT landscape. We work with a wide range of clients, including fintechs, blockchain startups, decentralized finance (DeFi) platforms, and established enterprises, to provide comprehensive security assessments that help mitigate the risks of cyberattacks, data breaches, and financial losses.

At **Monethic**, we take a collaborative approach to security assessments, working closely with our clients to understand their specific needs and tailor our assessments accordingly. Our goal is to provide actionable recommendations and insights that help our clients make informed decisions about their security posture, while minimizing the risk of security incidents and financial losses.

### **About Project**

Acurast is a decentralized, serverless compute network built on Substrate that turns smartphones into confidential workers by running jobs inside phone TEEs, and it's grown to tens of thousands of active devices. Through its Hyperdrive stack, Acurast provides bidirectional cross-chain messaging and deploys proxy contracts so users on external chains can create deployments and reward processors in native tokens.

The network is integrated with ecosystems like Aleph Zero and Vara, enabling dApps on those chains to offload compute to Acurast's decentralized cloud.

#### Disclaimer

This report reflects a rigorous security assessment conducted on the specified product, utilizing industry-leading methodologies. While the service was carried out with the utmost care and proficiency, it is essential to recognize that no security verification can guarantee 100% immunity from vulnerabilities or risks.

Security is a dynamic and ever-evolving field. Even with substantial expertise, it is impossible to predict or uncover all future vulnerabilities. Regular and varied security assessments should be performed throughout the code development lifecycle, and engaging different auditors is advisable to obtain a more robust security posture.

This assessment is limited to the defined scope and does not encompass parts of the system or third-party components not explicitly included. It does not provide legal assurance of compliance with regulations or standards, and the client remains responsible for implementing recommendations and continuous security practices.

### **Scoping Details**

The purpose of the assessment was to conduct a Blockchain Security Audit against Acurast Substrate Pallets, shared with the Monethic through the GitHub platform and selected b04d40b7a9755824701f594d7ae607b15d987f1f commit hash.

#### Scope

The scope of the assessment includes the files listed below:

- p256-crypto
- pallets/acurast (excluding p384 libs)
- pallets/acurast/common
- pallets/candiate-preselection
- pallets/compute
- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc
- pallets/hyperdrive-token

- pallets/hyperdrive
- pallets/marketplace
- pallets/processor-manager
- pallets/rewards-treasury
- runtime/acurast-mainnet
- runtime/common

#### GitHub repository:

https://github.com/Acurast/acurast-substrate/

#### **Timeframe**

On 21.08.2025 Monethic was requested for Acurast Substrate Pallets security review. Work began 16.09.2025.

On 03.10.2025, the report from the Blockchain Security assessment was delivered to the Customer.

Between 13.10.2025 and 21.10.2025 the fix review was performed by the Monethic team. On 21.10.2025, the Final Report was shared with the Customer.

### **Vulnerability Classification**

All vulnerabilities described in the report were thoroughly classified in terms of the risk they generate in relation to the security of the contract implementation. Depending on where they occur, their rating can be estimated on the basis of different methodologies.

In most cases, the estimation is done by summarizing the impact of the vulnerability and its likelihood of occurrence. The table below presents a simplified risk determination model for individual calculations.

			Impact	
	Severity	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Critical	High	Medium
	Medium	High	Medium	Low
	Low	Medium	Low	Low

Vulnerabilities that do not have a direct security impact, but may affect overall code quality, as well as open doors for other potential vulnerabilities, are classified as **Informational**.

### Vulnerabilities summary

No.	Severity	Name	Status
1	Critical	Anyone can delete and replay non-expired incoming messages	Resolved
2	High	Unbenchmarked weight calculation enabling inexpensive DoS attack	Resolved
3	High	Incomplete RSA signature verification in attestation validation	Resolved
4	High	Flawed report validation enables premature payouts and reputation inflation	Resolved
5	High	Missing sender-contract validation for Substrate inbound messages	Resolved
6	High	Oracle signature threshold can be met with duplicate keys	Resolved
7	Medium	Missing expiry validation in attestation verification	Acknowledged
8	Medium	Missing check_scheduling_window implementation	Acknowledged
9	Medium	Lack of assignment check in advertisement	Acknowledged
10	Medium	Weak and overly restrictive IPFS script validation	Resolved
11	Medium	Missing reputation penalties for unreported executions	Acknowledged
12	Medium	The finalize_job extrinsic will always complete	Acknowledged
13	Medium	Incorrect bound used for allowed consumers length check	Resolved



14	Medium	Incoming message cleanup removes the wrong key	Resolved
15	Medium	Inconsistent cleanup logic leaks locked capacity and reduces processor availability	Resolved
16	Low	Integer overflow in storage tracker unlock causes permanent capacity loss	Resolved
17	Low	Zero fee and zero amount transfers enable persistent storage bloat	Resolved
18	Low	Orphaned fee holds when re-sending the same sender nonce after TTL expiry	Resolved
19	Low	Refunds for non-Acurast job owners are collected locally and not forwarded	Acknowledged
20	Low	Best effort fee transfer leaves residual locked funds	Resolved
21	Low	Underestimated weight in certificate revocation list updates	Resolved
22	Low	Incomplete cleanup of execution environments on deregistration	Acknowledged
23	Low	Cross-proxy nonce collision in IBC message ID	Resolved
24	Low	Oracle signatures lack domain separation, enabling cross-context replay	Resolved
25	Informational	Wrong error message emitted in remove_message	Resolved
26	Informational	Centralization concerns	Resolved

### Technical summary

### 1. Anyone can delete and replay non-expired incoming messages

**Severity: Critical** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs:383

#### **Description**

The hyperdrive-ibc pallets's clean\_incoming extrinsic is callable by anyone and always removes an entry from IncomingMessages collection for each provided ID. The TTL is only checked to decide whether to also remove data from the lookup map, but it is an optional side effect of the execution.

As a result, anyone can delete and replay a fresh message from the main storage collection.

Additionally, although token transfers are safeguarded by per-transfer nonces in *pallets/hyperdrive-token*, other Hyperdrive messages routed through *pallets/hyperdrive* lack equivalent nonce or seen-set protection.

This enables malicious actors to replay these messages, causing duplicate state updates, inconsistent application logic, repeated event emissions, and wasted computational resources.

#### Remediation

We recommend changing the implementation so that the messages are removed from the *IncomingMessages* collection only if they are already expired.

## 2. Unbenchmarked weight calculation enabling inexpensive DoS attack

Severity: High

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs

- runtime/common/src/weight/pallet\_acurast\_hyperdrive\_ibc\_weights.rs

#### **Description**

The *clean\_incoming* extrinsic processes a list of message IDs while being charged a flat, static weight - *WeightInfo::clean\_incoming()*.

In fact, the runtime weight implementation is only a placeholder and statically computes weights as <code>DbWeight::reads\_writes(3, 3)</code> and does not scale with <code>ids.len()</code>.

As a result, the extrinsic underestimates the true execution cost, which involves multiple storage reads and writes per item.

Furthermore, if all items are expired, the extrinsic may return Pays::No, allowing execution with little or no fee. Even though the input is bounded ( $ids.len() \le 50$ ), the lack of proper benchmarking and per-item scaling enables attackers to repeatedly invoke this call, inflating block execution time.

#### Remediation

We recommend performing proper benchmarking of the clean incoming extrinsic.

#### 3. Incomplete RSA signature verification in attestation validation

Severity: High

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- acurast/common/src/attestation.rs

#### **Description**

The RSA certificate signature verification in pallets acurast/common/src/attestation.rs does not implement full RSASSA-PKCS1 v1.5 checks. When validate encounters the RSA algorithm, it calls  $validate\_rsa(payload, \&cert.signature\_value, pbk)$ . This function computes  $s^e \mod n$  and compares only the trailing bytes of the result against the SHA-256 digest of the payload.

This approach ignores the RSASSA-PKCS1 v1.5 encoding requirements, which mandate the presence of a *DigestInfo ASN.1* structure and proper 0x00 0x01 FF... 0x00 padding. As implemented, any signature whose modular exponentiation ends with the correct hash value is accepted, even if the preceding padding or *ASN.1* encoding is invalid.

Because *validate\_certificate\_chain* may process RSA-signed root or intermediate certificates and relies on this routine, malformed RSA signatures could be incorrectly validated, undermining the authenticity of the certificate chain.

#### Remediation

We recommend replacing the custom implementation with a standards-compliant RSASSA-PKCS1 v1.5 verifier from a vetted cryptographic library.

MONETHIC 11

# 4. Flawed report validation enables premature payouts and reputation inflation

Severity: High

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

pallets/marketplace/src/lib.rs

- pallets/marketplace/src/functions.rs

#### **Description**

During the processing of the *report* extrinsic, the *do\_report* function increments the assignment's SLA counters and validates timeliness through *update\_next\_report\_index\_on\_report* and *check\_report\_is\_timely*.

However, this logic only enforces that a report is submitted before the maximum allowed end time, without requiring that the report occur after the execution has actually begun or within the intended reporting window.

Before the first execution starts, the execution index defaults to zero, and the upper-bound condition is satisfied. As a result, repeated calls to report are accepted and increment *sla.met* until the total number of executions is reached.

Each accepted report triggers a payout through the reward manager, which deducts funds from the job's reserved budget and transfers them to the processor's manager.

For assignments with a "single" execution strategy, the job budget can be completely drained before any work is performed. Even under the "competing" strategy, early or misaligned reports can still trigger rewards because only the "not after end plus tolerance" condition is enforced.

This flaw directly impacts job owners, whose reserved budgets can be prematurely depleted without execution, and also distorts system integrity by inflating processor reputation. Each accepted report not only transfers funds but also emits a reported event and updates reputation metrics as though valid results were delivered.

#### Remediation

We recommend strengthening the validation of report submissions to ensure they fall strictly within the intended execution window.

# 5. Missing sender-contract validation for Substrate inbound messages

Severity: High

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive/src/lib.rs
- pallets/hyperdrive/src/chain/substrate.rs

#### **Description**

On inbound processing, the Hyperdrive pallet accepts messages from <code>Subject::AlephZero</code> and <code>Subject::Vara</code> without checking that the sender contract equals the configured on-chain counterparts. The match arm only decodes <code>message.payload</code> and executes it, i.e., it validates the chain but not the contract address (nor selector).

By contrast, the hyperdrive-token pallet does enforce the configured contract for Ethereum and Solana, rejecting mismatches before decoding - it compares contract\_call.contract against the stored config and errors on mismatch.

In outbound, the Substrate sender does populate the recipient with  $Self::aleph\_zero\_contract()$  and  $Self::vara\_contract()$ , proving the configuration exists.

Any payload signed by the oracles and tagged as *ALephZero* or *Vara* will be accepted and executed regardless of which contract emitted it, violating domain separation between proxy contracts.

#### Remediation

For Subject::AlephZero(Layer::Contract(call)) and Subject::Vara(Layer::Contract(call)), compare call.contract with the configured Self::aleph\_zero\_contract() and Self::vara\_contract() and fail with InvalidSender on mismatch, exactly like the Ethereum branch.

#### 6. Oracle signature threshold can be met with duplicate keys

Severity: High

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs

#### **Description**

The check\_signatures iterates the provided (Signature, Public) pairs and increments valid for every pair that verifies within the activity window. There is no set of unique public keys, so the same oracle key can be supplied multiple times and be counted multiple times toward min\_signatures.

```
let mut valid = 0;
           signatures.into_iter().try_for_each(
               |(signature, public)| -> Result<(), Error<T, I>>> {
                   match <OraclePublicKeys<T, I>>::get(public) {
                       None => {
                            not_found.push((signature.0, public.0));
                        },
                        Some(activity window) => {
exclusive end block
                            if activity_window.start_block <= current_block</pre>
                                && activity_window
                                    .end_block
                                    .map_or(true, |end_block| current_block <</pre>
end_block)
                            {
                                if let Some(r) = &relayer {
                                    ensure!(
                                        signature.verify(&(message, r).encode()[..],
&public),
                                        Error::<T, I>::SignatureInvalid
                                    );
                                } else {
                                    ensure!(
                                        signature.verify(&message.encode()[..],
&public),
```

A single oracle (or minority) can satisfy the threshold by duplicating its own signature entries, subverting the intended multi-party attestation.

#### Remediation

Deduplicate by public key before incrementing *valid*. Maintain a *BTreeSet<Public>* of used keys and *continue* if !inserted.

#### 7. Missing expiry validation in attestation verification

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Acknowledged** 

Client shared that "Expiry used to be always validated, but we found out that certain devices (mostly iOS devices) can provide a very short term expiry date (1-3 days) and also most importantly only issue the attestation once per key. For those reasons, we had to change the runtime to check the expiry date of the certificate the attestation is delivered in only at submission. Furthermore, the attestation is used to attest that a key was generated in a secure way and protected by the device's Secure Element / Secure Enclave, and the device guarantees that the key can only be used to generate signatures if the device / app integrity was not compromised.".

#### Location

- pallets/acurast/src/lib.rs

- pallets/acurast/src/functions.rs
- pallets/acurast/src/utils.rs

#### **Description**

The *acurast* pallet enforces attestation validity only at submission time but fails to re-validate expiry during subsequent authorization checks.

Specifically, submit\_attestation invokes validate\_and\_store, which uses validate to call ensure\_not\_expired::<T>(&attestation) before persisting into StoredAttestation<T>.

However, later calls to *check\_attestation* to retrieve attestations from storage but only verify revocation status and key acceptance. The expiry check (*ensure\_not\_expired::<T>*) is omitted.

This oversight affects all functions that rely on <code>check\_attestation</code>, including <code>ensure\_source\_verified</code>, <code>ensure\_source\_verified\_and\_of\_type</code>, and the <code>EnsureAttested</code> implementation.

As a result, expired attestations with *Attestation.validity.not\_after* already passed may still be accepted as valid, potentially allowing malicious actors to bypass time-based validity constraints.

#### Remediation

We recommend modifying check\_attestation to include an explicit call to <code>ensure\_not\_expired::<T>(&attestation)?</code>. This ensures that on every usage, the current timestamp retrieved via <code>T::UnixTime::now().as\_millis()</code> is compared against the <code>not\_before</code> and <code>not\_after</code> fields of the attestation validity period. Enforcing this check consistently prevents the reuse of expired attestations.

#### 8. Missing check\_scheduling\_window implementation

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Acknowledged** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/match\_checker.rs

#### **Description**

In marketplace pallet multiple functions rely on check\_scheduling\_window function that is assumed to ensure a specific work can be scheduled. However, it was observed that this function's implementation is commented out and simply returns Ok(()).

As a consequence, a matcher can propose matches whose start times fall outside the expected window, violating the consumer's constraint and enabling assignments that should not be allowed.

```
// );
// },
//}
Ok(())
}
```

We recommend implementing meaningful scheduling window checks.

#### 9. Lack of assignment check in advertisement

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Acknowledged** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/lib.rs

- pallets/marketplace/src/functions.rs

#### **Description**

The *advertise* function explicitly mentions in the comment that currently assigned ads restrict the possible changes to only capacity updates, while also explicitly prohibiting changes to pricing. However, we have observed that no such restrictions are implemented neither in the *advertise* function itself nor in the *do\_advertise* function called internally.

In consequence, unexpected pricing changes could occur even when ad is already assigned.

```
pub fn advertise(
    origin: OriginFor<T>,
    advertisement: AdvertisementFor<T>,
) -> DispatchResultWithPostInfo {
    let who = ensure_signed(origin)?;

    Self::do_advertise(&who, &advertisement)?;

    Self::deposit_event(Event::AdvertisementStored(advertisement, who));
    Ok(().into())
}
```

We recommend implementing a check that would prevent pricing changes when the ad is currently matched.

#### 10. Weak and overly restrictive IPFS script validation

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/acurast/common/src/types.rs:30

#### **Description**

The *is\_valid\_script* function in *pallets/acurast/common/src/types.rs* validates scripts by enforcing a fixed length of 53 bytes and requiring the *ipfs://* prefix.

This check is both insufficient and unnecessarily restrictive. It permits arbitrary data after the prefix, including non-UTF-8 and non-Base58 characters, without verifying whether the content identifier is a properly structured CIFv0. At the same time, it incorrectly rejects valid IPFS links that use CIDv1, such as those starting with bafy, because of the rigid length requirement.

Since the validation logic is used to gate registrations and script edits in pallets/acurast/src/functions.rs and pallets/marketplace/src/lib.rs, it allows unusable or malformed scripts to pass while blocking valid IPFS references, undermining reliability and interoperability.

MONETHIC

```
pub fn is_valid_script(script: &Script) -> bool {
    let script_len: u32 = script.len().try_into().unwrap_or(0);
    script_len == SCRIPT_LENGTH && script.starts_with(SCRIPT_PREFIX)
}
```

We recommend strengthening the validation logic to properly check the structure of the CID.

#### 11. Missing reputation penalties for unreported executions

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Acknowledged** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/lib.rs

#### **Description**

The reputation system does not penalize processors who fail to submit reports.

Reputation updates are only triggered through *do\_update\_reputation*, which is invoked exclusively by the reporting flow. When a job is finalized or an assignment is cleaned up, no additional reputation adjustment is applied for unconsumed SLA slots.

As a result, if a processor accepts an assignment but fails to report some or all executions, the discrepancy between the expected and actual reports has no impact on their reputation.

This allows processors to underperform or skip executions without consequence. At job finalization, reputation reflects only the reports that were submitted, leaving missing reports unpenalized. This enables reputation inflation and gaming: a processor can accept work, provide no results, and still avoid negative reputation effects, undermining the credibility of the scoring system.

We recommend extending the finalization and cleanup logic to account for missing reports. The difference between the total SLA executions and the number of reports submitted should result in a proportional penalty applied during job closure.

#### 12. The finalize\_job extrinsic will always complete

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Acknowledged** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/lib.rs:576

- pallets/marketplace/src/functions.rs:253

#### **Description**

The finalize\_job function calls a do\_cleanup\_assignment function internally and if that call does not error, the JobFinalized event will be deposited. However, the  $do_cleanup_assignment$  function will not error due to business logic checks, as they are implemented via if let syntax. This results in this function always returning Ok(()) regardless if any cleanup logic was executed or not. Furthermore, the JobFinalized event contains only the JobId that is specified by the caller.

No on-chain damage can be done, however anyone can force the pallet into emitting the *JobFinalized* event with an arbitrarily chosen *JobId*, including one that was not assigned to them. It might lead to confusion and integrity issues, depending on systems and components listening to those events.

Additionally, it must be noted that it's possible the *finalize\_job*'s extrinsic implementation is not finished, or is invalid. The *finalize\_jobs* extrinsic also present in the same pallet has substantially more complex business logic while also emitting a *JobFinalized* event.

```
pub(crate) fn do_cleanup_assignment(
          processor: &T::AccountId,
          job_id: &JobId<T::AccountId>,
          ) -> DispatchResult {
          if let Some(assignment) = <StoredMatches<T>>::get(processor, &job_id) {
```

Return an *Err* variant in case an *Assignment* or *JobRegistration* are not *Some*. Additionally, make sure that the current logic of *finalize\_job* extrinsic is correct.

#### 13. Incorrect bound used for allowed consumers length check

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/functions.rs

#### **Description**

The do\_advertise function validates the length of advertisement.allowed\_consumers against a value derived from T::MaxAllowedSources.

This is a semantic mismatch, since the *allowed\_consumers* field is defined in *pallets/marketplace/src/types.rs* as a bounded vector parameterized by *T::MaxAllowedConsumers*.

MONETHIC

On mainnet, MaxAllowedConsumers is configured as 100, while MaxAllowedSources is configured as 1000.

This would create inconsistencies in runtime behavior and potentially permit configurations that violate protocol assumptions.

#### Remediation

We recommend correcting the validation logic by replacing the reference to T::MaxAllowedSources with T::MaxAllowedConsumers in  $do_advertise$ .

#### 14. Incoming message cleanup removes the wrong key

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs:355

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs:387

#### **Description**

The receive\_message extrinsic in the hyperdrive-ibc pallet saves data into IncomingMessagesLookup storage map using the recipient and id as key tuple. The id is constructed as a hash of the message's sender and its nonce. It was observed that the clean\_incoming extrinsic is attempting to remove data from this collection if an associated message is expired. However, removal is attempted using the message's sender and just its nonce which does not match the key used to save it in the first place. As a consequence, the IncomingMessagesLookup collection will always be increasing in size.

#### Remediation

We recommend changing the storage removal implementation so that it uses the storage key matching one used to create a given entry.

# 15. Inconsistent cleanup logic leaks locked capacity and reduces processor availability

**Severity: Medium** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/lib.rs

#### **Description**

The cleanup\_storage extrinsic removes assignment indices (AssignedProcessors and StoredMatches) for a job\_id when StoredJobRegistration is already missing, but it never invokes StorageTracker::unlock.

As a result, storage locked during matching (StorageTracker::Lock) is not released back into the processor's StoredStorageCapacity. Since the job metadata is gone at this point, the pallet no longer has enough information to restore capacity, leaving the reduction permanent.

This issue extends beyond the Root-only cleanup path. Both <code>do\_cleanup\_assignment</code> (used in <code>finalize\_job</code>) and <code>cleanup\_previous\_execution\_matches</code> (automatic cleanup in the competing model) also remove <code>StoredMatches</code> and <code>AssignedProcessors</code> without calling unlock. This means capacity leaks occur not only through manual cleanup but also during normal operation, whenever processors fail to acknowledge or when assignments expire.

While some finalization and deregistration flows correctly call unlock when <code>StoredJobRegistration</code> is still available, the inconsistency across cleanup paths creates a systemic loss of recorded capacity. Over time, repeated cleanups can compound the leak across many processors, preventing them from meeting capacity requirements and effectively locking them out of future job assignments.

This creates a long-lived, network-wide reduction in available processor capacity, leading to a gradual denial of service. Unless corrected out of band, affected processors may be permanently unable to accept new jobs despite having sufficient real resources.

We recommend ensuring that all cleanup paths consistently restore processor capacity by invoking *StorageTracker::unLock* before removing the assignment state.

# 16. Integer overflow in storage tracker unlock causes permanent capacity loss

Severity: Low

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/lib.rs

#### **Description**

The StorageTracker::unlock function attempts to increase available storage capacity using checked\_add.

On overflow, *checked\_add* returns *None*, which is written directly back into the *StoredStorageCapacity* state. Despite this failure, the function still returns success, effectively erasing the processor's storage capacity. This allows a malicious or accidental overflow condition to permanently disrupt the affected processor's ability to participate in assignments.

Once overflow occurs, subsequent reports or finalizations leave the victim's capacity entry set to *None*. Any future matching attempts will then fail with *CapacityNotFound*, blocking the processor from being assigned new jobs until they re-advertise.

#### Remediation

We recommend introducing strict overflow handling in the unlock logic. The function should reject operations that would exceed the maximum representable value, returning an explicit error instead of silently writing *None*.

### 17. Zero fee and zero amount transfers enable persistent storage bloat

Severity: Low

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs:210

#### **Description**

The *send\_test\_message* extrinsic is publicly callable, accepts arbitrary fee (including zero) and TTL, and inserts new *OutgoingMessages* without requiring any storage deposit or enforcing a minimum fee.

Attackers can repeatedly send zero-fee, long-TTL messages, cheaply filling *OutgoingMessages* and relayer queues.

#### Remediation

We recommend enforcing nonzero minimums for both amount and fee in transfer\_native. Also we recommend requiring either a storage deposit or a nonzero minimum fee for send\_test\_message.

# 18. Orphaned fee holds when re-sending the same sender nonce after TTL expiry

Severity: Low

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs

#### **Description**

The do\_send\_message function in pallets/hyperdrive-ibc allows overwriting an existing OutgoingMessages entry once its TTL has expired (ttl\_block < current\_block). However, when this overwrite occurs, the pallet does not release the previously held fee associated with the old message.

Since fee holds are tracked globally under *HoldReason::OutgoingMessageFee* rather than keyed per message, the overwrite erases the storage reference to the old hold. As a result, the original funds remain locked indefinitely and cannot be recovered, leaving orphaned fee holds.

Users or automated processes that resend the same (sender, nonce) after TTL expiry will create new holds without releasing the old ones. Over time, this can trap significant funds in unreleasable holds, reducing available balances and causing systemic fund loss.

#### Remediation

We recommend updating do\_send\_message to explicitly release the existing fee hold when an expired message entry is overwritten. Holds should be keyed per message or include metadata linking them to their originating OutgoingMessages entry, ensuring they can always be released when a message is replaced or cleaned up.

### 19. Refunds for non-Acurast job owners are collected locally and not forwarded

Severity: Low

**Status: Acknowledged** 

#### Location

- pallets/marketplace/src/payments.rs:227

#### **Description**

Whenever the job owner is cross-chain, i.e. it is not Acurast, refunds are transferred to a local *hyperdrive* pallet's account with a *TODO* note to later forward it to the proxy chain. However, currently there is no way to send those funds back to the external owner, resulting in stuck refunds.

```
Preservation::Preserve,
                )?;
            },
            MultiOrigin::Tezos( )
            | MultiOrigin::Ethereum(_)
             MultiOrigin::AlephZero( )
             MultiOrigin::Vara(_)
             MultiOrigin::Ethereum20(_)
             MultiOrigin::Solana(_) => {
                Currency::transfer(
                    &pallet_account,
hyperdrive pallet account but not yet refunded to proxy chain
                    &hyperdrive_pallet_account,
                    remaining.saturated into(),
                    Preservation::Preserve,
               )?;
           },
        };
```

Implement the outbound refund path through Hyperdrive or explicitly restrict cross-chain registrants until refunds are fully supported end-to-end.

#### 20. Best effort fee transfer leaves residual locked funds

**Severity: Low** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs:239

#### **Description**

The confirm\_message\_delivery function in the Hyperdrive IBC pallet uses transfer\_on\_hold with Precision::BestEffort, Restriction::OnHold, and Fortitude::Polite. This configuration allows the transfer to succeed even if less than the full fee is moved.

MONETHIC

Afterward, the code unconditionally removes the message from storage. As a result, if only a partial transfer occurs, the leftover held balance remains permanently locked under <code>OutgoingMessageFee</code> with no mechanism to release it.

Residual fee holds accumulate when full payout does not occur. These stranded balances cannot be reclaimed or released, leading to permanent loss of funds for affected payers. Over time, multiple such events could degrade system usability by locking balances in inaccessible holds.

#### Remediation

We recommend providing recovery logic to detect and release orphaned holds left behind by partial transfers.

#### 21. Underestimated weight in certificate revocation list updates

**Severity: Low** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/acurast/src/lib.rs

#### **Description**

The root-only extrinsic *update\_certificate\_revocation\_list* in *pallets/acurast/src/lib.rs* applies a batch of updates but charges weight as if only a single storage write were performed. The function accepts updates: *BoundedVec<CertificateRevocationListUpdate*,

T::MaxCertificateRevocationListUpdates> and iterates over each entry, either inserting or removing values from Acurast::StoredRevokedCertificate<T> (keyed by SerialNumber).

Although multiple updates may be processed in a single call, the weight function defined in runtime/common/src/weight/pallet\_acurast.rs (fn update\_certificate\_revocation\_list() -> Weight) assigns a constant cost and accounts for only one database write via T::DbWeight::get().writes(1). Since the runtime configuration sets type MaxCertificateRevocationListUpdates = frame\_support::traits::ConstU32<10>, a call may perform up to 10 storage modifications while incurring weight charges for only one.

This discrepancy results in systematic underestimation of runtime costs, with up to ~9 additional writes and loop overhead unaccounted for. While the extrinsic is restricted to Root origin, the miscalculated weight introduces inaccuracies in resource usage accounting and may undermine system performance modeling.

#### Remediation

We recommend modifying the weight function signature to account for the number of updates processed. Additionally, given that the *update\_certificate\_revocation\_list* extrinsic is critical for the system's overall integrity, registering it with <code>DispatchClass::Mandatory</code> should be considered.

# 22. Incomplete cleanup of execution environments on deregistration

Severity: Low

**Status: Acknowledged** 

#### Location

- pallets/acurast/src/lib.rs

- pallets/acurast/src/functions.rs

#### **Description**

The deregistration process fails to fully remove per-job execution environment entries, leaving orphaned storage records under *Acurast::ExecutionEnvironment*. Environments are stored as a *StorageDoubleMap* keyed by (*JobId<T::AccountId>*, *T::AccountId*). These entries are populated via *set\_environments*, which accepts *BoundedVec<(T::AccountId, EnvironmentFor<T>*), *T::MaxSlots>*.

Although each call is bounded by *T::MaxSlots*, repeated invocations allow more than *T::MaxSlots* unique sources to accumulate for a single job. During deregistration, deregister\_for invokes clear\_environment\_for, which calls <ExecutionEnvironment<T>>::clear\_prefix(job\_id, T::MaxSlots::get(), None). This clears at most *T::MaxSlots* entries and ignores any remaining cursor, leaving additional entries under the same job\_id permanently stored.

As a result, orphaned (JobId, source) -> EnvironmentFor<T> mappings persist after deregistration, causing unnecessary storage growth and the risk of stale material lingering in the system.

#### Remediation

We recommend updating the cleanup logic to ensure the complete removal of all environment entries for a given job.

#### 23. Cross-proxy nonce collision in IBC message ID

Severity: Low

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs

- pallets/hyperdrive-token/src/lib.rs

#### **Description**

Outgoing IBC messages are deduped by id = hash((sender, nonce)). The hyperdrive-token pallet constructs nonce as  $hash_of(\&transfer_nonce)$  where  $transfer_nonce$  is a per-proxy counter and the sender is the constant pallet account.

In IBC, dedup and lookup is indexed by (sender, nonce) and the duplicate check consults OutgoingMessages(id) for TTL. Two transfers to different proxies that currently share the same numeric transfer\_nonce will produce the same nonce hash, and with the same sender (pallet account), they collide on id. The second send is rejected with MessageWithSameNoncePending until the first's TTL expires.

A potential attacker issuing concurrent transfers to two proxies at the same local nonce can block one of them until TTL elapses, creating a liveness issue on multi-proxy usage.

Severity of an issue was reduced as for now only one proxy is actively supported - it will become problematic once multiple proxies are enabled.

Domain-separate the *nonce* by including proxy (and optionally recipient) into the hashed nonce preimage, or move the recipient and proxy into the *message\_id* hashing them directly.

# 24. Oracle signatures lack domain separation, enabling cross-context replay

Severity: Low

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs:404

#### **Description**

The oracle signature verification logic in *check\_signatures* validates signatures over raw SCALE-encoded data (*MessageFor* and optionally (*message*, *relayer*)) without adding a domain separator or chain identifier. This means the signed payloads are not bound to the pallet, protocol, or network where they are used.

Without domain separation, oracle signatures generated in one context (for another pallet, chain, or network that uses an identical struct and SCALE encoding) can be reused here. Since the verifier only checks the raw bytes against oracle keys, the same signature can be replayed across contexts.

An attacker who can obtain valid oracle signatures elsewhere could replay them to satisfy verification in this pallet. This would allow unauthorized message acceptance or illegitimate fee claims, provided the attacker can construct identical SCALE-encoded bytes.

#### Remediation

We recommend introducing explicit domain separation in signature verification by prefixing the encoded payload with a protocol identifier, chain ID, or pallet-specific domain tag. This ensures oracle signatures are only valid in their intended context and cannot be replayed elsewhere.

#### 25. Wrong error message emitted in remove\_message

**Severity: Informational** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

- pallets/hyperdrive-ibc/src/lib.rs:301

#### **Description**

The remove\_message extrinsic in hyperdrive-ibc pallet returns an error of type CouldNotHoldFee when Currency::release call fails. This is incorrect in this context, as there is a CouldNotReleaseHoldFee error implemented for this purpose.

```
T::Currency::release(
    &HoldReason::OutgoingMessageFee.into(),
    &message.payer,
    message.fee,
    Precision::BestEffort,
)
.map_err(|_| Error::<T, I>::CouldNotHoldFee)?;
```

#### Remediation

We recommend changing the return error type to the appropriate one.

#### 26. Centralization concerns

**Severity: Informational** 

**Status: Resolved** 

#### Location

Observed in many places throughout the codebase

#### **Description**

It was observed that *RootOrigin* (enforced via *ensure\_root* function call) is used in many places throughout the codebase for extrinsics implementing administrative operations. Using *RootOrigin* decreases the decentralization aspect of the whole protocol and introduces a single point of failure into the system.

MONETHIC

We recommend introducing *Committees* that would be responsible for performing administrative operations.

**END OF THE REPORT**